Serial No.: 10/014,146

Attorney Docket No.: 2001P18437US

the SQL query text." The Official Action states that this "SQL query text" is the machine readable form.

Applicants respectfully disagree. Goldberg relates to a system for translating an SQL query type into a "vendor-specific" data type, which is the actual query for the database (See, e.g., Col. 6, lines 9-12). To this end, Goldberg provides for "query objects" that "allow the business logic...to access the DBMS systems 218, 222 without knowledge of the DBMS schema or query language. (Col. 5, lines 37-40)." The query object code is created by a query object generator tool in response to a query written by the query object developer in a standard fashion, such as SQL. Col. 5, lines 62-66.

Thus, in Goldberg, the SQL is never intended as the "machine readable form." The "machine readable form" in Goldberg is the vendor-specific data type which, like in Banning and Lowe, does not appear to ever be displayed, as generally recited in the claims at issue.

Further, while Col. 3, lines 31-36 of Goldberg (cited on page 4 of the Office Action and reproduced above) refers to displaying "metadata," Column 6 clarifies that "in order to assist the developer in writing the SQL language queries, the query object generator tool utilizes the inventive database schema access object to retrieve and to display the schema of the underlying database." Col. 6, line 66-Col. 7, line 2. Thus this "metadata" is displayed only to assist in hand writing the SQL query, which is then fed to the query object generator.

Thus, because none of the references, either singly or in combination, provide for, inter alia, converting aliases related to telecommunications calls into a machine-readable form displayable on a graphical user interface, the Examiner is respectfully requested to reconsider and withdraw the rejection.

Claims 4-6 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Banning in view of Lowe. Applicants respectfully submit that the claimed invention is not taught, suggested, or implied by Banning or Lowe, either singly or in combination. Claim 4 has been amended to recite "displaying the database readable query." For reasons similar tot hose discussed above with respect to claim 1-3 and 7-17, Applicants

Serial No.: 10/014,146

Attorney Docket No.: 2001P18437US

respectfully submit that these claims, too, are allowable. As such, the Examiner is respectfully requested to reconsider and withdraw the rejection.

For all of the above reasons, Applicants respectfully submit that the application is in condition for allowance, which allowance is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully requested,

SIEMENS CORPORATION

David D. Chung

Registration No.: 38,409 Attorney for Applicant(s)

Tel.: 650-694-5339 Fax: 650-968-4517

Date: _ // July o 6

SIEMENS CORPORATION Intellectual Property Department 170 Wood Avenue South Iselin, New Jersey 08830

ATTENTION: Elsa Keller, Legal Department

Telephone: (732) 321-3026